581.505.8(267)"1979"

A study of performance of different types of radiosondes used over Indian sea areas during Monex-79

N. SESHADRI

Meteorological Office, New Delhi (Received 12 November 1981)

सार - हिन्द महासागरीय क्षेत्रों में मानेक्स-79 के दौरान अनेक प्लेट कामों से विभिन्न प्रकार के रेडियो सोन्दों का उपयोग कर उप-रितन वायु के उष्मागतिक के अभिलेखित आंकड़ों का अध्ययन कर सुसंगता को ज्ञात किया गया है। सोवियत रूस के अनुसंघान पर से रेडियो सोन्दों द्वारा भारतीय मानेक्स जलयानों पर से वैगाला ओसेगा सोन्द द्वारा और संयुक्त राष्ट्र अनुसंघान वायुयान पर से अमेरिकी ड्रापसो-न्वों द्वारा अरब सागर और बंगाल की खाड़ी क्षेतों पर आंकत प्रेक्षणों को भारत के तटीय भूस्टेशनों से भारतीय रेडियो सोन्द प्रेक्षणों से मिला कर अध्ययन किया गया है। विभिन्न प्रकार के सोन्दों में अपयोग में लाए गए संवेदकों पर आवश्यक सूचना भी दी गई है। भारतीय रेडियो सोन्द और वैशाला आमेगा सोन्द्र के आंकडों की आंतरिक तलना के परिणामों की भी प्रस्तत किया गया है।

यह ग्रध्ययन भू और समुद्री क्षेत्रों पर उपरितन वायु साउडिंग के क्षेत्र में भारतीय रेडियो सोन्दे का दूसरी स्थापित रेडियो सोन्दे तकनिकों के साथ कार्यों की तुलना कर मूल्यांकन करने का प्रवसर प्रदान करता है। अभिलेखित ज्ञांकड़ों के संदर्भ में भारतीय रेडियो सोन्दे में उपयोग में लाए गए तापमान और माद्रता तुलना संवेदकों का कार्य, दूसरी स्थापित प्रणालियों की घ्रपेक्षा काफी विश्वसनीयता स्थायित और सुसंगति को प्रदर्शित करता है। परिणामों की झान्तरिक तुलना में भारतीय सोन्दे से लिए गए पबन के प्रेक्षण वैक्षाला ओमेगा सोन्दे से लिए गए आमेगा पवन के प्रेक्षण एक दूसरे से काफी मिलते जुलत दर्शाए गए हैं।

ABSTRACT. 'An attempt has been made to study air thermodynamic data recorded using different types various platforms over Indian sea areas. The observations recorded over the Arabian Sea and Bay of Bengal areas using Russian Radiosondes from USSR Indian Monex ships, and American dropsonde from the Radiosonde observations from coastal Indian land stations on the sensor used in the different types of sondes is also the Indian Radiosonde and Vaisala Omegasonde data has also been presented.

This study provides an opportunity to evaluate the comparative performance of the Indian Radiosonde with that of other established radiosonde techniques in the field of upper air sounding over land and sea areas. The performance of the temperature and humidity sensors used in the Indian radiosonde have showed a very high degree of reliability, stability and compatibility in respect of data recorded by the other established systems. The wind observations taken by the Indian sonde have also shown very close agreement with the Omega winds of Vaisala Omegasonde as brought out by the results of inter-comparison.

1. Introduction

During the Global Weather Experiment and Monex-79, four Indian ships were deployed in the Arabian Sea and the Bay of Bengal. Two of these ships, INS *Darshak* and INS *Deepak*, equipped with complete FGGE Navaid Sounding Systems capable of producing real time upper air data were deployed initially over the Arabian Sea during SOP-II (1 May to 30 June 1979). At the end of the Arabian Sea phase, these two ships along with RV *Gaveshani* (with an indigenous omegasonde equipment) moved into the Bay of Bengal. The fourth ship INS *Betwa* fitted with only a data acquisition module of Navaid Sounding System was positioned in the Bay of Bengal during Monex-79. The data generated were processed in delayed mode. The US research aircraft and USSR ships deployed over the Indian sea areas had real time data processing facilities on board. The Indian land stations also produced real time data using semi automated techniques. An attempt has been made to evaluate the compatibility of upper air thermodynamic data recorded by the FGGE Navaid Sounding Systems on board Indian Monex ships,





using the Vaisala Omegasonde, the measurements made by USSR ships using Russian Radiosondes, and measurements of the two US research aircraft CV 990 and Electra using the US dropsonde and the radiosonde measurements from the Indian coastal land stations using audio modulated Indian rediosonde in the near vicinity of operation of the Indian Monex ships.

2. Data used

2.1. The upper air data collected from the various platforms over the Indian sea areas as detailed below have been studied :

- (i) Data from five USSR research vessels using radar systems on board in a stationary polygon in the southeast Arabian Sea from 2-14 June 1979, and in the Bay of Bengal during 11-23 July 1979.
- (ii) Vaisala omegasonde data from INS Darshak (9° N, 68° E) in the Arabian Sea using the FGGE Navaid sounding system, in close proximity to the USSR ship polygon on 2 and 3 June 1979 and at 12 deg 30' N, 89 deg. E in the Bay of Bengal closest to the USSR ship 'Priliv' on 21-23 July 1979.
- (iii) The dropsonde data of US research aircraft CV 990 and 'Electra' taken almost concurrently in close proximity to the Indian Monex ships over the Arabian Sea and only from NCAR 'Electra' over the Bay of Bengal near INS *Darshak* on 21 and 24 July 1979.
- (iv) Data from Indian coastal stations using Indian radiosondes in close proximity of the above observational platforms.

2.2. The object of this study is to compare mainly the above upper air data of temperature and dew point recorded by the sensors used in the four different systems of radiosondes used on various platforms during Monex-79, and to



Fig. 2

establish a correlation and performance compatibility of the sensors used in the audio modulated Indian radiosonde with that of Vaisala omegasonde, Russian radiosonde and US dropwindsonde. In addition, the results of intercomparison of the Vaisala Omegasonde with Indian radiosonde has been presented.

2.3. The details of meteorological sensors used in the different types of radiosondes used during Monex-79 are given in Table 1.

3. Presentation of results

3.1. The locations at which the observations were taken from the various platforms using different types of radiosondes, which have been studied are given in the Locator Chart (Fig. 1).

3.2. The comparative temperature and dew point data collected by the Russian radiosonde from the ships 'Volna' and 'Priliv' and the US dropsonde from CV 990 and Electra aircraft are given in Fig. 2. It is seen that the profiles obtained by these two systems of sensors are in good agreement.

3.3. The data collected by Vaisala omegasonde from the Indian Monex ships and the Russian ship radiosonde data collected either at the same location or in the near proximity during June-July 1979 in the Arabian Sea and Bay of Bengal areas, are presented in Fig. 3. Reasonable compatibility in the temperature profiles between Vaisala and the Russian systems of radiosondes is seen.

3.4. The observations taken by Vaisala omegasonde on board Indian Monex ships and the US dropsonde from the two US research aircraft, more or less at the same locations, are presented. Bo'h these systems of sondes, *viz.*, the Vaisala and US dropsonde used the same Omega Navaid signals for the upper air measurements of temperature, humidity and wind.

PERFORMANCE OF RADIOSONDES OVER INDIAN SEA



The dropsondes were deployed from two aircraft (CV 990/Electra) at fixed flight levels of either 300 or 500 mb and they were tracked during the downward descent by the equipment on board the aircraft. The temperature and dew point profiles obtained by these two types of sondes are given in Fig. 4. It may be seen that in the Arabian Sea and Bay of Bengal areas,

these two measurements were found quite compatible and temperatures profiles were in good agreement with each other.

3.5. Along the coast of the Indian sub-continent, a large number of land radiosonde stations were taking routine radiosonde ascents, numbering 2-4 per day using Indian made radiosonde.

319



Fig. 4

The stations taken for comparison deploynig the audio modulated Indian radiosonde during Monex-79 are indicated in the Locator Chart (Fig. 1). The temperature profiles obtained between the Vaisala omegasonde on board Indian Monex ships and the Indian radiosonde used at land stations during Monex-79 in the Arabian Sea and Bay of Bengal are presented in Fig. 5. The temperature profiles obtained by Indian radiosonde agrees well with that of the Vaisala omegasonde even though the two types of radiosondes are using different types of sensors for temperature and humidity.

4. Result of analysis

4.1. A statistical analysis of the temperature and dew point measurements made by the different types of radiosondes used in Monex-79 was made and the results are given in Table 2. Keeping the Vaisala omegasonde, Russian radiosonde and US dropsonde as more established versions for reliable upper air measurements, a comparison was made of the departures of the Indian radiosondes are in good agreement and systems. It may be seen from Table 2 that the measurements made by the Vaisala Omegasonde and the audio modulated Indian radiosonde show high degree of compatibility. An attempt was also made to examine as to how far the Vaisala omegasonde measurement differs from the Russian radiosonde as well as the US dropsonde. Mutual compatibility has been established between the other types of radiosondes deployed during Monex-79. The details are discussed below :

(a) Vaisala omegasonde — Indian radiosonde (Audio modulated)

The upper air temperatures recorded by the Vaisala omegasonde upto 150 mb were warmer by 0.8 to 1.2 deg. C. The values varied between 1.5 to 2 deg. C above this level. Whereas the dew point recorded by Vaisala sonde showed a drier atmosphere than that indicated by the Indian Radiosonde upto levels of 150 to 200 mb. The dew point variations have been of the order of 2 to 3 deg. C thereby showing drier atmosphere by about 5 to 10 per cent less in humidity. This shows that the temperatures recorded by the sensors used in the Vaisala omegasonde and the Indian radiosondes are in good agreement and well within the accuracy limits required for synoptic purposes.

PERFORMANCE OF RADIOSONDES OVER INDIAN SEA

10.00		
ΓA	BLE	: I
1.11	ALC BAR	

The details of meteorological sensors used in the different types of radiosondes "deployed "during Mones-79

Name of sond and platform	e Parameter	Sensor		Accuracy	Range	
US dropsonde from	Pressure	Ni-Fe Aneroid c modulus alloy	constant	$\pm 2 \text{ mb}$	150-105	0 mb
and CV 990 air	rcraft Temperatu	are Thermister	1	$\pm 0.5^{\circ}C$	— 55 t	o + 40°C
	Humidity	Carbon Hygriste	er	$\pm 0.3^{\circ}$ to $1.1^{\circ}C$ (dew point)		-
Vaisala omega-	- Pressure	Ni-Fe Aneroid	capsule	±1.0 mb	10 mb-	1060 mb
sonde from Ind Monex Ships () Darshak, Deepo	dian INS Temperatur ak	re Bimetal Ni-Fe a	us alloy illoy	±0.2 mb ±0.15°C		C to 55°C
and Betwa)	Humidity	Humicap thin capacitive diele Polymer	film	±2.0%	0 to 10	00%
Russian radio- sonde from US Research shi	Pressure SR ps	Be-Cu Aneriod	capsule	$\pm 2.0 \text{ mb} \\ \pm 0.5 \text{ mb}$	upto 10 above 1	00 mb 00 mb
(Priliv, Volna Shirshon Priho	r, v) Temperatu	re Thermister	81.0	+1°C	- 80°C	C to $+50^{\circ}C$
Shirshov, 11100,	Humidity	Membrane sens	sitive	-	15% to	o 100%
Indian radiosono from coastal	de Pressure	Ni-Fe Aneroid constant modulu	capsule is alloy	$\substack{\pm 2.0 \text{ mb} \\ \pm 0.5 \text{ mb}}$	1050-15 above 1	0 mb 50 mb
land stations	Temperatur	re Rod Thermister		±1°C	+50° to	o — 85°C
	Humidity	Lithium chloride hygrister	e film	$\pm 5\%$ 15% to 100%		
S. Mean diff No. rences in tempera-	Upper e- t st S.D. (Abs	r air temperatures	and d Mean e rence dew	ew point diffe- t s s in point S.D. (Ab	stati- stics solute)	t observed from the table at
S. Mean diff No. rences in tempera- ture TT°	Upper e- t st S.D. (Abso	r air temperatures iati- tics from the table at 90 % confi- dence level	' and d Mean e rence dew j Td 7	ew point diffe- t s s in point S.D. (Ab Id	stati- stics ssolute)	t observed from the table at 95% con- fidence level
S. Mean diff No. rences in tempera- ture TT°	Upper se- t st s.D. (Abso Vaisala omeg	r air temperatures tati- tics t observed from the table at 90 % confi- dence level asonde (V) minus In	' and d Mean e rence dew Td T	ew point diffe- t s s in S.D. (Ab rd	stati- stics ssolute)	t observed from the table at 95% con- fidence level
S. Mean diff No. rences in tempera- ture TT°	Upper s S.D. (Abso Vaisala omeg) 1.83 1	r air temperatures tati- tics t observed from the table at 90 % confi- dence level asonde (V) minus In 1.55 2.30	and d Mean e rence dew Td T Td T	ew point diffe- t is s in S.D. (Ab rd liosonde (I) 7.65	stati- stics ssolute)	t observed from the table at 95% con- fidence level
S. Mean diff No. rences in tempera- ture TT° (V-I) 1 1.0 2 1.33	Upper Se- t st S.D. (Abso Vaisala omeg) 1.83 1 0.87 (r air temperatures rati- tics from the table at 90 % confi- dence level asonde (V) minus In 1.55 2.30 0.62 2.30	and d Mean e rence dew j Td 7 ndian rad (V-I) 1.67 0.89	ew point diffe- t i s in S.D. (At Id diosonde (I) 7.65 1.54 1.70	0.65 1.74	<i>t</i> observed from the table at 95% con- fidence level 2.30 2.30 2.44
S. Mean diff. No. rences in tempera- ture TT° (V-I) 1 1.0 2 1.33 3 0.86 4 -0.11	Upper re- t st s S.D. (Abso Vaisala omeg) 1.83 1 0.87 0 1.21 1 1.60	r air temperatures tati- tics tolute) tati- tolute) tati- tobserved from the table at 90 % confi- dence level asonde (V) minus In 1.55 2.30 1.62 2.30 1.87 2.44 0.20 2.30	and d Mean e rence dew j Td 7 ndian rad (V-1) 1.67 0.89 	ew point diffe- t i s in S.D. (Ab rd liosonde (I) 7.65 1.54 1.70 2.30	0.65 1.74 1.11 1.32	<i>t</i> observed from the table at 95% con- fidence level 2.30 2.30 2.44 2.30
S. Mean diff No. rences in tempera- ture TT° (V-I) 1 1.00 2 1.33 3 0.86 4 -0.11 5 -0.22	Upper se- t st s.D. (Abso Vaisala omeg) 1.83 1 0.87 (0 1.21 1 1.60 (0 1.30 (0)	r air temperatures rati- tics olute) tobserved from the table at 90 % confi- dence level asonde (V) minus In 1.55 2.30 0.62 2.30 1.87 2.44 0.20 2.30 0.51 2.30	' and d Mean e rence dew <u>1</u> Td 1 ndian rad (V-I) 1.67 0.89 -0.71 -0.89 -1.78	ew point diffe- t i s in S.D. (Ab rd liosonde (I) 7.65 1.54 1.70 2.30 2.23 0.27	0.65 1.74 1.11 1.32 0.62	<i>t</i> observed from the table at 95% con- fidence level 2.30 2.30 2.44 2.30 2.44 2.30 2.30
S. Mean diff No. rences in tempera- ture TT° (V-I) 1 1.00 2 1.33 3 0.86 40.11 50.22 6 0.67 7 0.48 8 0.96	Upper se- t st S.D. (Abso Vaisala omeg) 1.83 1 0.87 (0 1.21 1 1.60 (0 1.30 (0 1.12 1 1.20 1 1.01 1	r air temperatures r air temperatures r air temperatures tics from the table at 90 % confi- dence level asonde (V) minus In 1.55 2.30 0.62 2.30 1.87 2.44 0.20 2.30 1.51 2.30 1.33 2.30 1.88 2.30	' and d Mean e rence dew <u>1</u> Td 1 ndian rad (V-I) 1.67 0.89 -0.71 -0.89 -1.78 1.30	ew point diffe- t s s in S.D. (Ab rd liosonde (I) 7.65 1.54 1.70 2.30 2.23 0.87	0.65 1.74 1.11 1.32 1.58 0.62	<i>t</i> observed from the table at 95% con- fidence level 2.30 2.30 2.44 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30
S. Mean diff No. rences in tempera- ture TT° (V-I) 1 1.0 2 1.33 3 0.86 4 0.11 5 0.22 6 0.67 7 0.48 8 0.96 (R-I)	Upper se- t st S.D. (Abso Vaisala omeg) 1.83 1 0.87 0 1.21 1 1.60 0 1.30 0 1.12 1 1.20 1 1.01 1 Russian ra	r air temperatures rati- tics tobserved from the table at 90 % confi- dence level asonde (V) minus In 1.55 2.30 0.62 2.30 1.87 2.44 0.20 2.30 1.51 2.30 1.79 2.30 1.33 2.30 diosonde (R) minus	' and d Mean e rence dew y Td 1 ndian rad (V-I) 1.67 0.89 -0.71 -0.89 -1.78 1.30 Indian rad	ew point diffe- t s s in S.D. (Ab Id diosonde (I) 7.65 1.54 1.70 2.30 2.23 0.87 adiosonde (I)	0.65 1.74 1.11 1.32 1.58 0.62	<i>t</i> observed from the table at 95% con- fidence level 2.30 2.30 2.44 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30
S. Mean diff No. rences in tempera- ture TT° (V-I) 1 1.0 2 1.33 3 0.86 40.11 50.22 6 0.67 7 0.48 8 0.96 (R-I) 11.86	Upper s s.D. (Abso Vaisala omeg) 1.83 1 0.87 0 1.21 1 1.60 0 1.22 1 1.60 0 1.12 1 1.20 1 1.20 1 1.01 1 Russian ra 3.58 1	r air temperatures rati- tics from the table at 90 % eonfi- dence level asonde (V) minus In 1.55 2.30 0.62 2.30 1.87 2.44 0.20 2.30 0.51 2.30 1.79 2.30 1.33 2.30 1.88 2.30 diosonde (R) minus 1.24 2.34	' and d Mean e rence dew y Td 1 ndian rad (V-1) 1.67 0.89 -0.71 -0.89 -1.78 1.30 Indian ra -1.88	ew point diffe- t s s in S.D. (Ab Id S.D. (Ab 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.65 1.74 1.11 0.62 1.22	<i>t</i> observed from the table at 95% con- fidence level 2.30 2.30 2.44 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30
S. Mean diff No. rences in tempera- ture TT° (V-I) 1 1.0 2 1.33 3 0.86 40.11 50.22 6 0.67 7 0.48 8 0.96 (R-I) 11.86	Upper set t st S.D. (Abso Vaisala omeg) 1.83 1 0.87 (0 1.21 1 1.60 (0 1.30 (0 1.12 1 1.60 (0 1.12 1 1.60 (1 1.30 (0 1.12 1 1.01 1 Russian radioso	r air temperatures tati- tics from the table at 90 % confi- dence level asonde (V) minus In 1.55 2.30 0.62 2.30 1.87 2.44 0.20 2.30 1.51 2.30 1.79 2.30 1.33 2.30 diosonde (R) minus 1.24 2.34 mde (R) minus Vaise	' and d Mean e rence dew j Td T ndian rad (V-I) 1.67 0.89 -0.71 -0.89 -1.78 1.30 Indian ra .30 India na	ew point diffe- t i s in S.D. (Ab fd liosonde (I) 7.65 1.54 1.70 2.30 2.23 0.87 adiosonde (I) 3.62 gasonde (V)	0.65 1.74 1.11 1.32 1.58 0.62	<i>t</i> observed from the table at 95% con- fidence level 2.30 2.30 2.44 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30
S. Mean diff No. rences in tempera- ture TT° (V-I) 1 1.00 2 1.33 3 0.86 40.11 50.22 6 0.67 7 0.48 8 0.96 (R-I) 11.86 (R-V) 1 3.60	Upper se- t st s S.D. (Absolution Vaisala omeg) 1.83 1 0.87 (0 1.21 1 1.60 (0 1.30 (0 1.12 1 1.60 (0 1.30 (0 1.12 1 1.00 1 Russian ra 3.58 1 Russian radioso 7.22 1	r air temperatures r observed r	' and d Mean e rence dew J Td J ndian rad (V-I) 1.67 0.89 -0.71 -0.89 -1.78 1.30 Indian ra .30 Indian ra (R-V) 4.80	ew point diffe- t i s in S.D. (Ab rd liosonde (I) 7.65 1.54 1.70 2.30 2.23 0.87 adiosonde (I) 3.62 gasonde (V) 5.26	0.65 1.74 1.11 1.32 1.58 0.62	<i>t</i> observed from the table at 95% con- fidence level 2.30 2.30 2.44 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30
S. Mean diff No. rences in tempera- ture TT° (V-I) 1 1.00 2 1.33 3 0.86 40.11 50.22 6 0.67 7 0.48 8 0.96 (R-I) 11.86 (R-V) 1 3.60 21.92	Upper se- t st S.D. (Abso Vaisala omeg) 1.83 1 0.87 (0 1.21 1 1.60 (0 1.30 0 1.12 1 1.60 (0 1.30 0 1.12 1 1.20 1 1.20 1 1.20 1 1.20 1 1.20 1 1.20 1 1.21 1 1.20 1 1.20 1 1.21	r air temperatures r observed from the table at 90 % confi- dence level asonde (V) minus In 1.55 2.30 0.62 2.30 1.87 2.44 0.20 2.30 1.51 2.30 1.33 2.30 1.33 2.30 diosonde (R) minus 1.24 2.34 mde (R) minus Vaise .11 2.77 .58 2.20	' and d Mean e rence dew <u>rence</u> dew <u>rence</u> dew <u>rence</u> dew <u>rence</u> dew <u>rence</u> dew <u>rence</u> dew <u>rence</u> dew <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rencc</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rencce</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rence</u> <u>rencce</u>	ew point diffe- t s s in S.D. (Ab rd liosonde (I) 7.65 1.54 1.70 2.30 2.23 0.87 adiosonde (I) 3.62 gasonde (V) 5.26 4.17	0.65 1.74 1.11 1.32 1.58 0.62 1.22 2.04 2.56	<i>t</i> observed from the table at 95% con- fidence level 2.30 2.30 2.44 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30
S. Mean diff No. rences in tempera- ture TT° (V-I) 1 1.0 2 1.33 3 0.86 4 0.11 5 0.22 6 0.67 7 0.48 8 0.96 (R-I) 1 1.86 (R-V) 1 3.60 2 1.92 3 1.0	Upper se- t st S.D. (Abso Vaisala omeg) 1.83 1 0.87 00 1.21 1 1.60 0 1.30 0 1.12 1 1.60 0 1.30 0 1.12 1 1.01 1 Russian radioso 7.23 1 4.21 1 1.85 1	r air temperatures rati- tics tobserved from the table at 90 % confi- dence level asonde (V) minus In 1.55 2.30 0.62 2.30 1.87 2.44 0.20 2.30 1.79 2.30 1.33 2.30 1.33 2.30 diosonde (R) minus 1.24 2.34 nde (R) minus Vaise .11 2.77 .58 2.20 .53 2.36	' and d Mean e rence dew y Td 1 ndian rad (V-1) 1.67 0.89 -0.71 -0.89 -1.78 1.30 Indian ra -1.88 ala omeg (R-V) 4.80 3.08 1.00	ew point diffe- t s s in S.D. (Ab Td liosonde (I) 7.65 1.54 1.70 2.30 2.23 0.87 adiosonde (I) 3.62 gasonde (V) 5.26 4.17 3.46 end	0.65 1.74 1.11 1.32 1.58 0.62 1.22 2.04 2.56 0.82	<i>t</i> observed from the table at 95% con- fidence level 2.30 2.30 2.44 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30
S. Mean diff No. rences in tempera- ture TT° (V-I) 1 1.0 2 1.33 3 0.86 40.11 50.22 6 0.67 7 0.48 8 0.96 (R-I) 11.86 (R-V) 1 3.60 21.92 3 1.0	Upper set t st S.D. (Abso Vaisala omeg) 1.83 d 0.87 (0 1.21 d 1.60 (0 1.20 d 1.60 (0 1.61 d 1.60 (0 1.61 d 1.60 (0 1.61 d 1.60 (0 1.60 (0 1.61 d 1.60 (0 1.61 d 1.61 d 1.60 (0 1.61 d 1.61 d 1.60 (0 1.61 d 1.61 d	r air temperatures rati- t observed from the table at 90 % eonfi- dence level asonde (V) minus In 1.55 2.30 0.62 2.30 1.87 2.44 0.20 2.30 1.51 2.30 1.79 2.30 1.33 2.30 diosonde (R) minus 1.24 2.34 mde (R) minus Valss .11 2.77 .58 2.20 .53 2.36 e (A) minus Valsala	' and d Mean e rence dew y Td 1 ndian rad (V-1) 1.67 0.89 -0.71 -0.89 -0.71 -0.89 -1.78 1.30 Indian ra -1.88 ala omeg (R-V) 4.80 3.08 1.00 3.08	ew point diffe- t s s in S.D. (Ab Td liosonde (I) 7.65 1.54 1.70 2.30 2.23 0.87 adiosonde (I) 3.62 gasonde (V) 5.26 4.17 3.46 onde (V)	0.65 1.74 1.11 1.32 1.58 0.62 1.22 2.04 2.56 0.82	2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30
S. Mean diff No. rences in tempera- ture TT° (V-I) 1 1.0 2 1.33 3 0.86 40.11 50.22 6 0.67 7 0.48 8 0.96 (R-I) 11.86 (R-V) 1 3.60 21.92 3 1.0 (A-V) 1 1.20	Upper s s.D. (Abso S.D. (Abso Vaisala omeg) 1.83 1 0.87 0 1.21 1 1.60 0 1.21 1 1.60 0 1.22 1 1.01 1 Russian radioso 7.23 1 4.21 1 1.85 1 U.S. dropsondo 1.30 2	r air temperatures r air	' and d Mean e rence dew j Td 1 ndian rad (V-1) 1.67 0.89 -0.71 -0.89 -0.71 -0.89 -1.78 1.30 Indian ra -1.88 ala omeg (R-V) 4.80 3.08 1.00 1 omegass (A-V) 0.0	ew point diffe- t is s in S.D. (Ab Td S.D. (Ab 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.65 1.74 1.11 1.32 1.58 0.62 1.22 2.04 2.56 0.82 0.0	2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30
S. Mean diff No. rences in tempera- ture TT° (V-I) 1 1.0 2 1.33 3 0.86 40.11 50.22 6 0.67 7 0.48 8 0.96 (R-I) 11.86 (R-V) 1 3.60 21.92 3 1.0 (A-V) 1 1.20 2 5.60	Upper s S.D. (Abso Vaisala omeg) 1.83 11 0.87 00 1.21 11 1.60 00 1.21 11 1.60 00 1.21 11 1.60 00 1.21 11 1.60 00 1.21 11 1.60 00 1.21 11 1.20 11 1.30 2 7.27 11	r air temperatures r air	' and d Mean e rence dew j Td 1 ndian rad (V-1) 1.67 0.89 -0.71 -0.89 -1.78 1.30 Indian ra -1.88 ala omeg (R-V) 4.80 3.08 1.00 a omegase (A-V) 0.0 3.20	ew point diffe- t is point S.D. (Ab fd liosonde (I) 7.65 1.54 1.70 2.30 2.23 0.87 adiosonde (I) 3.62 gasonde (V) 5.26 4.17 3.46 onde (V) 8.34 11.55 1.55	0.65 1.74 1.11 1.32 1.58 0.62 1.22 2.04 2.56 0.82 0.0 0.60	2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.44 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30
S. Mean diff No. rences in tempera- ture TT° (V-I) 1 1.0 2 1.33 3 0.86 40.11 50.22 6 0.67 7 0.48 8 0.96 (R-I) 11.86 (R-V) 1 3.60 21.92 3 1.0 (A-V) 1 1.20 2 5.60 41.20	Upper re- t st S.D. (Abso Vaisala omeg) Vaisala omeg) 1.83 1 0.87 (C 1.21 1 1.60 (C 1.30 (C 1.30 (C 1.12 1 1.60 (C 1.30 (C 1.21 1 1.60 (C 1.30 (C 1.21 1 1.85 1 Russian radioso 7.23 1 4.21 1 1.85 1 U.S. dropsonde 1.30 (2 7.27 1 0.55 (C) 1.10 (C) 1.10 (C) 1.10 (C) 1.10 (C) 1.30 (C)	r air temperatures r air	' and d Mean e rence dew Td T Td T Td T Td T Td T Td T Td T Td	ew point diffe- t s s in point S.D. (Ab 1000000 (I) 7.65 1.54 1.70 2.30 2.23 0.87 adiosonde (I) 3.62 gasonde (V) 5.26 4.17 3.46 onde (V) 8.34 11.55 4.0	0.65 1.74 1.11 1.32 1.58 0.62 1.22 2.04 2.56 0.82 0.0 0.60 1.88	<i>t</i> observed from the table at 95% con- fidence level 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30
S. Mean diff No. rences in tempera- ture TT° (V-I) 1 1.0 2 1.33 3 0.86 40.11 50.22 6 0.67 7 0.48 8 0.96 (R-I) 11.86 (R-V) 1 3.60 21.92 3 1.0 (A-V) 1 1.20 2 5.60 3 1.60	Upper se- t st S.D. (Abso Vaisala omeg 1.83 1 0.87 (0 1.21 1 1.60 (0 1.30 0 1.21 1 1.20 1 1.30 0 7.23 1 4.21 1 1.85 1 U.S. dropsonde 1.30 2 7.27 1 0.55 0 1.10 2 Russian ra	r air temperatures r air	' and d Mean e rence dew <u>j</u> Td 1 ndian rad (V-1) 1.67 0.89 -0.71 -0.89 -0.71 -0.89 -1.78 1.30 Indian ra (R-V) 4.80 3.08 1.00 3.20 3.0 US drop	ew point diffe- t s s in S.D. (Ab rd liosonde (I) 7.65 1.54 1.70 2.30 2.23 0.87 adiosonde (I) 3.62 gasonde (V) 5.26 4.17 3.46 onde (V) 8.34 11.55 4.0 sonde (A)	0.65 1.74 1.11 1.32 1.58 0.62 1.22 2.04 2.56 0.82 0.0 0.60 1.88	<i>t</i> observed from the table at 95% con- fidence level 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30
S. Mean diff No. rences in tempera- ture TT° (V-I) 1 1.0 2 1.33 3 0.86 40.11 50.22 6 0.67 7 0.48 8 0.96 (R-I) 11.86 (R-V) 1 3.60 21.92 3 1.0 (A-V) 1 1.20 41.20 (R-A)	Upper se- t st S.D. (Abso Vaisala omeg 1.83 1 0.87 00 1.21 1 1.60 00 1.21 1 1.60 00 1.12 1 1.00 1 Russian radioso 7.23 1 4.21 1 1.85 1 U.S. dropsonde 1.30 2 7.27 1 0.55 0 1.10 2 Russian radioso	r air temperatures rati- tics tobserved from the table at 90 % confi- dence level asonde (V) minus In 1.55 2.30 0.62 2.30 1.87 2.44 0.20 2.30 1.87 2.44 0.20 2.30 1.79 2.30 1.33 2.30 1.33 2.30 diosonde (R) minus 1.24 2.34 nde (R) minus Vaisa 1.1 2.77 .58 2.20 .53 2.36 e (A) minus Vaisala .06 2.77 .72 2.77 .53 2.77 .45 2.77 diosonde (R) minus	' and d Mean e rence dew y Td 1 ndian rad (V-1) 1.67 0.89 -0.71 -0.89 -0.71 -0.89 -1.78 1.30 Indian ra -1.88 ala omeg (R-V) 4.80 3.08 1.00 3.08 1.00 3.20 3.0 US drop (R-A)	ew point diffe- t s s in S.D. (Ab rd liosonde (I) 7.65 1.54 1.70 2.30 2.23 0.87 adiosonde (I) 3.62 gasonde (V) 5.26 4.17 3.46 onde (V) 8.34 11.55 4.0 sonde (A)	0.65 1.74 1.11 1.32 1.58 0.62 1.22 2.04 2.56 0.82 0.0 0.60 1.88	2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30 2.30

(b) Russian radiosonde - Indian radiosonde (Audio modulated)

The comparison between the Russian and the Indian radiosonde also showed that the Russian sonde measurements were warmer than the Indian radiosonde varying from 1 to 3 deg. C for the entire range of the atmosphere from the ground to 100 mb. The Russian radiosonde also indicated drier atmosphere than measured by the Indian radiosonde. The dew point values re-corded were varying by 2 to 4 deg. C especially indicating a drier atmosphere by 5 per cent upto 500 mb and 10 per cent above. In spite of this indication of warmer atmosphere, the compatibility of observations between these two systems is high. An earlier intercomparison of audio modulated Indian radiosonde (1680 MHz) with the Soviet radiosonde RKZ-2 (1790 MHz) done during Monsoon-77 at Bombay on 24 June 1977 also indicate similar results. The Indian and Soviet sondes were simultaneously released at Bombay and from the Soviet Flag Ship RV Shirshov berthed at Bombay docks respectively. The results of the two ascents showed very close agreement in the temperature within 3 deg. C upto 700 mb and 1 deg. C upto 300 mb. The relative humidity recorded were within a variation limit of 5 per cent upto 300 mb and 10 per cent upto 700 mb.

(c) Vaisala omegasonde - US dropsonde

It was noticed while comparing the upper air temperature measurements made by the Vaisala omegasonde and US dropwindsondes, which used the same omega Navaid signals, that the US dropsonde always indicated higher temperature values than Vaisala omega upsonde widely varying by 2 to 4 deg. C from the flight level to the ground. However, the US dropsonde measurements indicated more moist atmosphere than the Vaisala omegasonde values at all levels from the drop level. The humidity values were even 20 per cent to 30 per cent more than that of Vaisala omegasonde. A possible explanation for the indication of higher humidity values by the US dropsonde sensors may be due to the deposition of water on the sensors forming an envelope vitiating the measurement and not allowing the true dew point temperatures to be recorded.

(d) Russian radiosonde - Vaisala omegasonde

The observations taken by the Russian radiosonde and the Vaisala omegasonde indicated that Vaisala measurements were warmer than the Russian radiosonde values at all levels. It was noticed that upto 450 mb temperature values were higher by 1 to 2 deg. C. Whereas the observations of dew point temperatures recorded by Vaisala omegasonde showed drier atmosphere than indicated by the Russian radiosondes especially at levels between 750 mb to 200 mb. The dew point temperatures were varying from 1 to 3 deg. C indicating a drier atmosphere by about 10 per cent of humidity.

(e) Russian radiosonde - US dropsonde

The comparison between the Russian radiosonde temperatures and the US dropsonde measurements indicated that the values recorded by the Russian sonde were cooler below 750 mb by 1-2 deg. C than that of the US dropsonde. But no appreciable difference in the temperature values recorded by these two systems of sondes were noticed between the flight levels and 750 mb level. Whereas the dew points recorded by these two sondes did show an appreciable difference of 20 per cent from the level of drop to the ground. Again the US dropsonde values were moist.

5. Intercomparison of Vaisala omegasonde and Indian radiosonde

An attempt was also made to intercompare the Vaisala omegasonde with that of the Indian radiosonde at New Delhi during December 1980 by releasing both the sondes more or less at the same time on four days. The results of the upper air temperature and dew point measurements taken by these two systems of sondes on these days of intercomparison are given in Fig. 6. It is evident from the intercomparison that the measurements done by these two systems of sondes are highly compatible as already borne out from the compatibility studies done on the observations taken during Monex-79. The striking coincidence of the profile shapes consequtively during these four days of intercomparison in Fig. 6 is highly indicative of the performance stability of the Indian radiosonde temperature and other sensors.

5.2. The intercomparison data were analysed to work out the compatibility of the upper winds obtained from the Vaisala omegasonde and the Indian radiosonde. The Indian radiosonde recorded the winds using the WBRT 1680 MHz equipment which is in routine use at New Delhi. Whereas the Vaisala omegasonde observations were recorded and processed in the FGGE modules A & B of the Navaid Sounding Systems, installed at New Delhi. The results of the statistical analysis of the omega winds and the WBRT winds are given in Table 3. It is seen that the wind values recorded by these two systems are in close agreement and the deviation of the wind velocities from the surface upto 150 mb levels is of the order of ± 0 to 1.5 mps. The wind directions recorded by the Vaisala omegasonde and the Indian radiosonde were also quite consistent and well within \pm 10 deg, generally.

6. Conclusions

The above study of performance compatibility of the various types of temperature sensors used in the four different radiosondes used in Monex-79 indicate the following :

PERFORMANCE OF RADIOSONDES OVER INDIAN SEA



Upper	wind	speeds	and	direction	

Vaisala omega se	nde (V) minu.	s Indian radi	osonde (I)
------------------	---------------	---------------	------------

S. No.	Mean diff- erences in wind speed (mps) V minus I	S.D.	t stati- stics (Absolute)	t observed from the table at 95% confidence level	Mean diffe- rences in direction degrees V minus I	S.D.	t stati- stics (Absolute)	t observed from the table at 95% confi dence level
1	-1.50	1.57	1.60	1.79	6.33	8.68	2.33	1.79
2	0.70	4.11	0.54	1.79	0.90	2.1	1.13	1.79
3	2.40	5.26	1.42	1.83	5.90	2.42	0.77	1.83
4	-1.00	2.16	1.46	1.83	9.40	21.0	1.38	1.83
5	-0.82	1.54	1.40	1.83	0.20	38.4	1.0	1.83
6	-1.38	1.60	1.60	1.83	3.70	23.4	0.84	1.83

(a) The performance of Indian radiosonde temperature sensors show high degree of reliability and compatibility with that of Vaisala omegasonde even though the sensors are of different type. The differences in temperatures lay within the range of 0.8 deg. C to 1.2 deg. C upto 150 mb and 1-2 deg. C beyond. However, the dew point temperature variation were of the order of 2-3 deg. C.

(b) The Indian radiosonde temperature values compared well with the Russian radiosonde varying 1-3 deg. C from ground to 100 mb. The variation in the dew point values were between 2-4 deg. C. The compatibility of temperature observations between the two systems was noteworthy.

(c) The Indian radiosonde sensors for temperature measurements have been found to be comparable in stability, reliability and accuracy with those of the other well known and established systems of radiosondes.

(d) The two systems of sondes using omega signals namely Vaisala and US dropsonde however showed wide variation in temperatures 2-4 deg. C and 20-30 per cent differences in humidity.

(e) The intercomparison between the Indian radiosonde and Vaisala omegasonde at New Delhi showed a consistent and noteworthy agreement in the shape of temperature profiles. This confirmed the high degree of stability and reliability in performance of the Indian temperature sensors. The temperature differences were less than 1 deg C.

(f) The omega winds recorded by Vaisala sonde were in close agreement with the Indian radiowind measurements done during the intercomparison. The differences in wind speeds were less than \pm 1. 5 mps upto 150 mb level and in directions less than \pm 10 deg. C generally at all levels.

Acknowledgement

The author expresses his thanks to Shri T. D. Chacko for typing the manuscript.



Reference

